



FEMA

MAR 28 2006

04-03-06P12:12 RCVD

Mr. Chad Berginnis
State Hazard Mitigation Officer
Ohio Emergency Management Agency
2855 W. Dublin-Granville Road
Columbus, Ohio 43235-2206

Dear Mr. Berginnis:

Thank you for submitting revisions for the Athens County Hazard Mitigation Plan. The plan was reviewed based on the local plan criteria contained in 44 CFR Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, and the Flood Mitigation Assistance Program (FMA). The plan is *approved* for Athens County and its unincorporated areas. Please submit copy of the final plan in electronic format (CD).

The approval of this Plan ensures the continued availability of Stafford Act funding including the FMA, Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program, Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, and Fire Management Assistance Grants. All requests for funding, however, will be evaluated individually according to the specific eligibility and other requirements of the particular program under which the application is submitted.

Over the next five years, we encourage Athens County to follow the plan's schedule for monitoring and updating the plan, and continue their efforts to implement the mitigation measures. The plan must be reviewed, revised as appropriate, and resubmitted for approval within five years in order to continue project grant availability.

Please pass on our congratulations to the community on completing this significant action. If you or the community has any questions, please contact Jonathan (J.P.) Marsch at (312) 408-5226.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Norbert Schwartz".

Norbert Schwartz, Director
Federal Insurance and Mitigation
Division

Attachments: Local Plan Review Sheets

Local Mitigation Plan Review and Approval Status

Jurisdiction: Athens County Unincorporated Areas	Title of Plan: Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan	Date of Plan: October 2003, Revised June 2004
Local Point of Contact: Bob Eichenberg	Address: Athens County Regional Planning Commission 28 Curran Drive Athens, OH 45701	
Title: Planning Director		
Agency: Athens County Regional Planning Commission		
Phone Number: (740) 594-6069	E-Mail:	

State Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
------------------------	---------------	--------------

FEMA Reviewer: Jonathan (J.P.) Marsch	Title: Hazard Mitigation Specialist	Date: 1/17/06, Adjusted 3/20/06
Date Received in FEMA Region [Insert #]		
Plan Approved for FMA and DMA	x	
Date Approved		

Jurisdiction: Athens County	NFIP Status*			
	Y	N	N/A	CRS Class
1. Athens County	Y			

* Notes: Y = Participating N = Not Participating N/A = Not Mapped

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW SUMMARY

Each requirement includes separate elements. All elements of the requirement must be rated "Satisfactory" in order for the requirement to be fulfilled and receive a score of "Satisfactory." Elements of each requirement are listed on the following pages of the Plan Review Crosswalk. A "Needs Improvement" score on elements shaded in gray (recommended but not required) will not preclude the plan from passing. Reviewer's comments must be provided for requirements receiving a "Needs Improvement" score.

SCORING SYSTEM

Please check one of the following for each requirement.

N – Needs Improvement: The plan does not meet the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments must be provided.

S – Satisfactory: The plan meets the minimum for the requirement. Reviewer's comments are encouraged, but not required.

Prerequisite(s) (Check Applicable Box)

	<u>STAFFORD</u>		<u>FMA</u>	
	NOT MET	MET	NOT MET	MET
Adoption by the Local Governing Body: §201.6(c)(5) and §78.5(f)		S		S

OR

Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption: §201.6(c)(5) and §78.5(f) AND	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation: §201.6(a)(3) and §78.5(a)		S		S

Planning Process

	N	S	N	S
Documentation of the Planning Process: §201.6(b) and §201.6(c)(1) and §78.5(a)		S		S

Risk Assessment

	N	S	N	S
Identifying Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i) and §78.5(b)		S		S
Profiling Hazards: §201.6(c)(2)(i) and §78.5(b)		S		S
Assessing Vulnerability: Overview: §201.6(c)(2)(ii) and §78.5(b)		S		S
Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A) and §78.5(b)	N			S
Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B)		S		S
Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends: §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C)		S		S
Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment: §201.6(c)(2)(iii) and FEMA 299		S		S

Mitigation Strategy

	<u>STAFFORD</u>		<u>FMA</u>	
	N	S	N	S
Local Hazard Mitigation Goals: §201.6(c)(3)(i) and §78.5(c)		S		S
Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions: §201.6(c)(3)(ii) and §78.5(d)		S		S

Implementation of Mitigation Actions:
 §201.6(c)(3)(iii) and §78.5(d) and (e)
 Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions:
 §201.6(c)(3)(iv) and FEMA 299

	S		S
	S		S

Plan Maintenance Process

STAFFORD FMA
 N S N S

Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan:
 §201.6(c)(4)(i) and §78.5(e)
 Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms:
 §201.6(c)(4)(ii)
 Continued Public Involvement: §201.6(c)(4)(iii)

	S		S
	S		S
	S		S

Additional State Requirements*

STAFFORD FMA
 N S N S

Insert State Requirement
 Insert State Requirement
 Insert State Requirement

LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN APPROVAL STATUS

STAFFORD FMA

PLAN NOT APPROVED

--	--

PLAN APPROVED

X	X
---	---

*States that have additional requirements can add them in the appropriate sections of the *Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance* or create a new section and modify this Plan Review Crosswalk to record the score for those requirements.

PREREQUISITE(S)

Adoption by the Local Governing Body

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(5):** *[The local hazard mitigation plan shall include] documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan (e.g., City Council, County Commissioner, Tribal Council).*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(f):** *Documentation of formal plan adoption by the legal entity submitting the plan (e.g., Governor, Mayor, County Executive).*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			NOT MET	MET	NOT MET	MET

A. Has the local governing body adopted the plan?	Resolution (Front Cover)			Y		Y
B. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included?	Resolution (Front Cover)			Y		Y
SUMMARY SCORE				Y		Y

Multi-Jurisdictional Plan Adoption

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(5):** *For multi-jurisdictional plans, each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan **must** document that it has been formally adopted.*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(f):** *Documentation of formal plan adoption by the legal entity submitting the plan (e.g., Governor, Mayor, County Executive).*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			NOT MET	MET	NOT MET	MET
A. Does the plan indicate the specific jurisdictions represented in the plan?		This plan includes those portions of the county not covered under jurisdictional plans.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
B. For each jurisdiction, has the local governing body adopted the plan?		This plan includes those portions of the county not covered under jurisdictional plans.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
C. Is supporting documentation, such as a resolution, included for each participating jurisdiction?		This plan includes those portions of the county not covered under jurisdictional plans.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
SUMMARY SCORE			N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Multi-Jurisdictional Planning Participation

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(a)(3):** *Multi-jurisdictional plans (e.g., watershed plans) may be accepted, as appropriate, as long as each jurisdiction has participated in the process ... Statewide plans will not be accepted as multi-jurisdictional plans.*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(a):** *Description of the planning process and public involvement. Public involvement **may** include workshops, public meetings, or public hearings.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			NOT MET	MET	NOT MET	MET
A. Does the plan describe how each jurisdiction participated in the plan's development?				NA		NA
SUMMARY SCORE				NA		NA

PLANNING PROCESS:

Documentation of the Planning Process

- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(b):** *An open public involvement process is essential to the development of an effective plan. In order to develop a more comprehensive approach to reducing the effects of natural disasters, the planning process shall include:*
 - An opportunity for the public to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to plan approval;*
 - An opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, and agencies that have the authority to regulate development, as well as businesses, academia and other private and non-profit interests to be involved in the planning process; and*
 - Review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information.*
- Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(1):** *[The plan shall document] the planning process used to develop the plan, including how it was prepared, who was involved in the process, and how the public was involved.*
- FMA Requirement §78.5(a):** *Description of the planning process and public involvement. Public involvement may include workshops, public meetings, or public hearings.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan provide a narrative description of the process followed to prepare the plan?	Chapter 1, Section 3, The Planning Process, Page 7			S		S
B. Does the plan indicate who was involved in the planning process? (For example, who led the development at the staff level and were there any external contributors such as contractors? Who participated on the plan committee, provided information, reviewed drafts, etc.?)	Chapter 1, Section 2, The Planning Process, Page 7, Page 4, Members of the Hazard Mitigation Plan Committee	Athens County contracted with Athens County Regional Planning Commission to draft the plan. A natural hazard mitigation planning committee was organized consisting of members of the participating jurisdiction.		S		S
C. Does the plan indicate how the public was involved? (Was the public provided an opportunity to comment on the plan during the drafting stage and prior to the plan approval?)	Chapter 1, Section 3, The Planning Process, Page 7 Appendix 4, Page A7-A11	Appendix 4 contains a sample advertisement and newspaper articles.		S		S
D. Was there an opportunity for neighboring communities, agencies, businesses, academia, nonprofits, and other interested parties to be involved in the planning process?	Chapter 1, Section 3, The Planning Process, Page 7	A letter was sent to agencies and organizations that have an interest in the plan. A copy of the letter and list of agencies and organizations are included in Appendix 5.		S		S

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
	Appendix 5, Page A12-A14					
E. Does the planning process describe the review and incorporation, if appropriate, of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information?	Chapter 1, Section 3, The Planning Process, Page 7		S		S	
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

RISK ASSESSMENT: §201.6(c)(2): *The plan shall include a risk assessment that provides the factual basis for activities proposed in the strategy to reduce losses from identified hazards. Local risk assessments must provide sufficient information to enable the jurisdiction to identify and prioritize appropriate mitigation actions to reduce losses from identified hazards.*

Identifying Hazards

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i):** *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the type ... of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction.*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(b):** *Description of the existing flood hazard and identification of the flood risk, including estimates of the number and type of structures at risk, repetitive loss properties, and the extent of flood depth and damage potential.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan include a description of the types of all natural hazards that affect the jurisdiction? If the hazard identification omits (without explanation) any hazards commonly recognized as threats to the jurisdiction, this part of the plan cannot receive a Satisfactory score. Consult with the State Hazard Mitigation Officer to identify applicable hazards that may occur in the planning area.	Chapter 2, Section 2, Natural Hazard Profiles, Pages 10-23	The following hazards have been identified and analyzed by the cities: Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Extreme Cold, Extreme Heat, Flooding (flash), Flooding (riverine), Hail, High winds, Ice Storms, Landslide/Rockfall, Land Subsidence, Thunderstorms and lightning, Tornado, Winter storm/Blizzards, and Wildfire.		S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

Profiling Hazards

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i):** *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the ... location and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction. The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events.*

- **FMA Requirement §78.5(b):** *Description of the existing flood hazard and identification of the flood risk,, and the extent of flood depth and damage potential.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the risk assessment identify the location (i.e., geographic area affected) of each natural hazard addressed in the plan?	Chapter 2, Section 2, Natural Hazard Profiles, Pages 10-23			S		S
B. Does the risk assessment identify the extent (i.e., magnitude or severity) of each hazard addressed in the plan?	Chapter 2, Section 2, Natural Hazard Profiles, Pages 10-23			S		S
C. Does the plan provide information on previous occurrences of each hazard addressed in the plan?	Chapter 2, Section 2, Pg 10-23; Appdx 6, Pg A15-A18			S		S
D. Does the plan include the probability of future events (i.e., chance of occurrence) for each hazard addressed in the plan?	Chapter 2, Sect 2, Pg 10-23 Appdx 6, Pg A19			S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

Assessing Vulnerability: Overview

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii):** *[The risk assessment shall include a] description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section. This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community.*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(b):** *Description of the existing flood hazard and identification of the flood risk, ..., and the extent of flood depth and damage potential.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan include an overall summary description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to each hazard?	Chapter 3, Vulnerability Assessment, Pages 24-31			S		S
B. Does the plan address the impact of each hazard on the jurisdiction?	Chapter 3, Section 2, Potential Loss Estimates, Pages 27-30			S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

Assessing Vulnerability: Identifying Structures

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(A):** *The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard area*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(b):** *Description of the existing flood hazard and identification of the flood risk, including estimates of the number and type of structures at risk, repetitive loss properties,*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of existing buildings (including repetitive loss structures), infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Chapter 3, Section 2, , Appendix 11, Page A24-A25 Appdx 7; Pg A19	The committee decided due to the limited time and planning resources that the most effective approach would be to focus on flooding and blizzard hazards and to devote less time to those hazards with a more remote chance of occurrence. <i>Recommended Revision:</i> Include loss estimates for all hazards	N			S

B. Does the plan describe vulnerability in terms of the types and numbers of future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas?	Chapter 3, Section 2, , Pages 27-30; Appdx 7, Pg A19	Included in discussion of future buildings/development		S		S
SUMMARY SCORE			N			S

Assessing Vulnerability: Estimating Potential Losses

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(B):** *[The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of an] estimate of the potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures identified in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan estimate potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures?	Chapter 3, Section 2, Potential Loss Estimates, Pages 27-30	The committee decided due to the limited time and planning resources that the most effective approach would be to focus on high risk vulnerable structures for each of the top 2 natural hazards, flooding and blizzard hazards and to devote less time to those hazards with a more remote chance of occurrence.		S		S
B. Does the plan describe the methodology used to prepare the estimate?	Chapter 3, Section 2, Pages 27-30			S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

Assessing Vulnerability: Analyzing Development Trends

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii)(C):** *[The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of] providing a general description of land uses and development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan describe land uses and development trends?	Chapter 3, Section 3, Development Trend Analysis, Pages 29-30. Appendix 8, Natural Hazard Risk Maps, Pages A21-A22	Good discussion on land use. Hazard Risk maps were included.		S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

Multi-Jurisdictional Risk Assessment

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(iii):** *For multi-jurisdictional plans, the risk assessment **must** assess each jurisdiction’s risks where they vary from the risks facing the entire planning area.*
- **FMA FEMA 299 Guidance:** *The Plan should be coordinated with, and ideally developed in cooperation with, all of the local jurisdictions within the geographical area.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan include a risk assessment for each participating jurisdiction as needed to reflect unique or varied risks?	Chapter 3, Section 2, Pages 27-29 Appdx 7, Pg 19			S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

MITIGATION STRATEGY: §201.6(c)(3): *The plan shall include a mitigation strategy that provides the jurisdiction’s blueprint for reducing the potential losses identified in the risk assessment, based on existing authorities, policies, programs and resources, and its ability to expand on and improve these existing tools.*

Local Hazard Mitigation Goals

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i):** *[The hazard mitigation strategy **shall** include a] description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards.*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(c):** *The applicant’s floodplain management goals for the area covered by the plan.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A Does the plan include a description of mitigation goals to reduce or avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (GOALS are long-term; represent what the community wants to achieve, such as “eliminate flood damage”; and are based on the risk assessment findings.)	Chapter 4, The Plan, Section 1, Goals, Objectives, and Activities, Pg 31 Appendix 13, Pages A29-A32			S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

Identification and Analysis of Mitigation Actions

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii):** *[The mitigation strategy shall include a] section that identifies and analyzes a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects being considered to reduce the effects of each hazard, with particular emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure.*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(d):** *Identification and evaluation of cost-effective and technically feasible mitigation actions considered.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each hazard?	Appendix 13, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, Pages A29-A32	Range of actions does not include many HMGP/PDM eligible projects. Recommended Revision: Include more projects are eligible for FEMA's mitigation programs (elevations, buyouts, structural improvements, streambank stabilization, etc.)		S		S
B. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on new buildings and infrastructure?	Appendix 13, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, Pages A29-A32	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.		S		S
C. Do the identified actions and projects address reducing the effects of hazards on existing buildings and infrastructure?	Appendix 13, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan, Pages A29-A32	The Plan has identified mitigation actions to reduce the effects of hazards on existing buildings and infrastructure. Recommended Revisions: Develop a matrix to show what actions address specific hazards and existing buildings and infrastructure.		S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

Implementation of Mitigation Actions

- **Multihazard Requirement: §201.6(c)(3)(iii):** *[The mitigation strategy section shall include] an action plan describing how the actions identified in section (c)(3)(ii) will be prioritized, implemented, and administered by the local jurisdiction. Prioritization shall include a special emphasis on the extent to which benefits are maximized according to a cost benefit review of the proposed projects and their associated costs.*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(d):** *Identification and evaluation of cost-effective and technically feasible mitigation actions considered; and*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(e):** *Presentation of the strategy for reducing flood risks and continued compliance with the NFIP, and procedures for ensuring implementation, reviewing progress, and recommending revisions to the plan.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the mitigation strategy include how the actions are prioritized ? (For example, is there a discussion of the process and criteria used?)	Ch. 4	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing. Mitigation actions prioritized.		S		S
B. Does the mitigation strategy address how the actions will be implemented and administered ? (For example, does it identify the responsible department, existing and potential resources, and timeframe?)	Appendix 13, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan	The local hazard mitigation committee identified the key hazards that have mitigation actions included.		S		S
B.1. Does the mitigation strategy address continued compliance with the NFIP?	Appendix 13, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan,	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the Stafford plan from passing.		s		s
C. Does the prioritization process include an emphasis on the use of a cost-benefit review (see page 3-36 of <i>Multi-Hazard Mitigation Planning Guidance</i>) to maximize benefits?	Ch. 4, Section 2	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.		S		S
C.1. Does the mitigation strategy emphasize cost-effective and technically feasible mitigation actions?	Appendix 13, Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the Stafford plan from passing. There is one FMA-eligible project included in the plan.		S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		s

Multi-Jurisdictional Mitigation Actions

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv):** For multi-jurisdictional plans, there **must** be identifiable action items specific to the jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval or credit of the plan.
- **FMA FEMA 299 Guidance:** The Plan should be coordinated with, and ideally developed in cooperation with, all of the local jurisdictions within the geographical area.

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S

A Does the plan include at least one identifiable action item for each jurisdiction requesting FEMA approval of the plan?			NA		NA	
SUMMARY SCORE			NA		NA	

PLAN MAINTENANCE PROCESS

Monitoring, Evaluating, and Updating the Plan

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i):** *[The plan maintenance process shall include a] section describing the method and schedule of monitoring, evaluating, and updating the mitigation plan within a five-year cycle.*
- **FMA Requirement §78.5(e):** *Presentation of the strategy for reducing flood risks and continued compliance with the NFIP, and procedures for ensuring implementation, reviewing progress, and recommending revisions to the plan.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan describe the method and schedule for monitoring the plan? (For example, does it identify the party responsible for monitoring and include a schedule for reports, site visits, phone calls, and meetings?)	Chapter 4, The Plan, Section 2, Implementation, Page 31-32			S		S
B. Does the plan describe the method and schedule for evaluating the plan? (For example, does it identify the party responsible for evaluating the plan and include the criteria used to evaluate the plan?)	Chapter 4, The Plan, Section 2, Implementation, Page 31-32			S		S
C. Does the plan describe the method and schedule for updating the plan within the five-year cycle?	Chapter 4, Page 32	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.		S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

Incorporation into Existing Planning Mechanisms

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii):** *[The plan shall include a] process by which local governments incorporate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan identify other local planning mechanisms available for incorporating the requirements of the mitigation plan?	Chapter 4, The Plan, Section 2, Implementation, Page 31-32	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing. Indicated on p.31-32 and in mitigation actions.		S		S
B. Does the plan include a process by which the local government will incorporate the requirements in other plans, when appropriate?	Chapter 4, The Plan, Section 2, Implementation, Page 31-32	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.		S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S

Continued Public Involvement

- **Multihazard Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii):** *[The plan maintenance process shall include a] discussion on how the community will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process.*

Element	Location in the Plan (section or annex and page #)	Reviewer's Comments	SCORE			
			STAFFORD		FMA	
			N	S	N	S
A. Does the plan explain how continued public participation will be obtained? (For example, will there be public notices, an on-going mitigation plan committee, or annual review meetings with stakeholders?)	Chapter 4, The Plan, Section 3, Maintenance, Page 31-32	Note: A "Needs Improvement" score on this requirement will not preclude the FMA plan from passing.		S		S
SUMMARY SCORE				S		S